UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/525,778	02/28/2005	Bruno Bozionek	2002P10504WOUS	8327	
Siemens Corpor	7590 03/11/201 ration	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			SMITH, JOSHUA Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2477		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/525,778	BOZIONEK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSHUA SMITH	2477		

	JOSHUA SMITH	24//	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of cortened statutory period for reply original cortened statutory period	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a α	orresponding number of finally reig	octed claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / amonamone (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-51</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	vice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	10/0B/00/1 aper No(3).		
Joshua Smith	/Gregory B Sefcheck/		
/J.S./	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2477	
03-09-2010	-		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that no access unit determines what device a message is intended for in Raffali, and that Raffali teaches translation members that provide tunneling for messages to be sent through certain sub networks and those translation members do not determine which destination device the message is intended for is not persuasive. The act of encapsulating a message with a new header and trailer based on a translation of a header and trailer already on the message implies that a destination network of a destination terminal has been determined and that the new header and trailer are generated based on properly tunneling the message toward the destination terminal in the destination network. As a result, the process of translating header and trailer information and encapsulating with new header and trailer information in Raffali implies that a destination address for a destination terminal is determined for properly routing to the destination terminal, and this is substantively the same as determining which destination device a message is intended for.

The argument that Raffali expressly teaches away form any determination by an access unit as required by claims 33-44, and Raffali teaches that "transit traffic is transferred unchanged by means of tunneling", and the tunneling performed in the system disclosed by Raffali merely encapsulates and decapsulates messages sent by an originating terminal is not persuasive. A reasonable interpretation of "converting" a message is to simply change a message in some way so that it becomes compatible with a different protocol. The word "converting" does not require that the entire message is changed, and "conversion" does not necessarily relate to conversion of an entire message. A way of "converting" a message, or changing a message so that it becomes compatible with a different protocol, it to add appropriate components to the message so that it becomes compatible with a different protocol. As a result, the encapsulation and tunneling of a message performed in Raffali is substantively the same as "converting" a message. Examiner respectfully notes that Claim 33 does not contain limitations for "converting" that are clearly not taught by Raffali.